National Judicial Academy

P-1231: Workshop for High Court Justice on Arbitration including International Arbitration (Online) 5th – 6th December, 2020

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Prasidh Raj Singh and Mr. Krishna Sisodia, Faculty

No. of Participants : 39 No. of forms received : 14

	I. OVERALL				
]	PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a.	The objective of the Program was clear to me	78.57	21.43	-	-
b.	The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	92.86	7.14	-	-
	Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	85.71	14.29	-	-
d.	I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	78.57	21.43	-	-
e.	Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	71.43	21.43	7.14	4. A little more time for the interaction session would be nice.
	II. KNOWLEDGE				
]	PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
Th	The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a.	Useful to my work	71.43	28.57	-	-
b.	Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	71.43	28.57	-	-

c. Up to date	85.71	14.29	-	-		
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	57.14	42.86	-	-		
e. Related to international legal norms	58.33	41.67	-	-		
	III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM					
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks		
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	92.86	7.14	-	-		
b. The program was	an adequate combination	on of the following m	ethodologies viz.			
(i) Case studies were relevant	92.86	7.14	-	-		
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	85.71	14.29	-	-		
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	78.57	21.43	-	-		
	IV SESSI	ONS WISE VETTING	G			
		Parameters				
a ·	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons			
Session	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory		
1	85.71	14.29	100.00	-		
2	78.57	21.43	100.00	-		
3	66.67	33.33	100.00	-		
4	83.33	16.67	100.00	-		
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS						
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks		
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	85.71	14.29	-	4. Material is very good and would be very helpful.		
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy	85.71	14.29	-	-		

	in the discussed				
	area				
c.	The content was organized and	69.23	30.77	-	-
	easy to follow				

	VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS			
1. Three	Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	1. Updation and all round information.		
_		2. Scope of interfere me by courts in matters relating to award.		
achiev		3. 1. How to deal with domestic arbitration in India; 2. As to the enforcement of foreign; 3. Procedure as to international arbitration.		
		4. 1. Enriching experience overall; 2. Learned about certain aspects of arbitration law which will be very helpful; 3. Exposition to the latest legal position.		
		5. 1. Scope of domestic arbitration in India; 2. Scope of international arbitration need outside India; 3. Enforcement of foreign awards in India.		
		6. Perception in context of enforcement of foreign award.		
		7. 1. Exposure to latest legal position; 2. Suggestions for improvement; 3. Well prefficient adjudication.		
		8. 1. Hon'ble Justice S.K. Kaul, points on emerging trends were thought providing; 2. Hon'ble Justice Malhotra presentation summarized entire law; 3 A had overall knowledge of all aspects of the statute.		
		9. Reading material should be sent to the participant well with in time so the participant may study the material properly.10. 1. We have been updated with the latest law/decision; 2. Concept, good and need to speed up the process well explained; 3. Role of courts and limitation also well explained.		
		11. 1. Speakers were highly learned; 2. Material were effective; 3. Overall good experience.		
		12. It was quite informative.		
		13. Update of subject knowledge; Useful in deciding the matter; Interaction was useful.		
		14. 1. Quite informative; 2. Useful in deciding matters; 3. Achieved over all ideas about arbitration.		
	Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	1. Question answers (Interactive).		
_		2. All the sessions are useful.		
_		3. The entire programme was very useful as a whole.		
		4. Both the sessions were very useful and covered the relevant topics very well. PPT presentation made it quicker and easier to follow.		
		5. The entire programme was useful.		
		6. Ditto.		
		7. Bird's eye view on latest amendment & latest law will help while adjudicating.		
		8. All presentation were good.		
		9. All the part of programme.		

10. All the sessions were equally interesting and enlightening because of views and experiences being shared by panelists and the participants (Judges). 11. The execution of speakers was highly knowledgeable and effective with good case laws. 12. All. 13. Participant did not comment. 14. Participant did not comment. 3. Which part of the 1. Participant did not comment. Programme did 2. As I said against column no-3, all the sessions are useful. you find least useful and why 3. Not applicable. 4. All parts were useful. 5. Participant did not comment. 6. All useful. 7. All parts were useful. 8. Participant did not comment. 9. Participant did not comment. 10. None. 11. All part were quality effective. 12. All were useful. 13. Participant did not comment. 14. Participant did not comment. 4. Kindly make any 1. Participants also should be introduced in a 10 minutes per session to make suggestions you participation more enhancive. may have on how 2. Workshops may be organized frequently. NJA may serve 3. None. you better and make its 4. It would be great to be able to participant in more such programme with things programmes more moving online at least for the convenience future the number of programme could be effective considered; Though dislike programmes have their limitations, however learning a little more time for the question and answer session would be helpful. 5. Participant did not comment. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. Interactive session time should be increased. 8. Participant did not comment. 9. Participant did not comment. 10. I congratulate and thank the NJA and all responsible for successfully organizing on excellent workshop on the subject. Please continue to invite experienced and outstanding panelists like those who were invited in this workshop. My best wishes. 11. It was perfect. 12. It was quite good the suggestion. 13. Participant did not comment. 14. Participant did not comment.